定量生物学の会 第七回年会



三浦 岳 九州大学大学院医学研究院

Basic question: 生物の形づくりを理解したい



Langman's Medical Embryology



カタストロフ理論



消滅

カタストロフィー理論:フランスの数学者トム (René Thom)は、1960年代に、純粋な数学形式 論としてカタストロフィー理論を発展させた。 しかし、トムと他の研究者は「それは、イモ虫 から蝶への変態や文明の崩壊などに至るまで、 突然に起こる不連続性をすべて説明できる」と 主張し始め、その結果、1970年代の後半までには



主張し始め、その結果、1970年代の後半までには、カタストロフィ ー理論は、興奮のるつぼを経て自滅してしまった。「トムの仕事は、 すべてのものに対して何の新しい情報ももたらさない」とある批評 家は結論した。

細程サイエンス आशास 複雑系がひらく世界 科学・技術・社会へのインパクト

合原一幸 編





Shh gradientと神経管の分化



Ribes V , and Briscoe J Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2009;1:a002014

A Shh KShh Smo $\downarrow K_{Ptc} \downarrow Ptc$ $\xrightarrow{k_{g3rc}}$ Gli3R Gli3 K_2 K_1 gli > ptc ĥ 25 On 20 Bistable 5 10 20 30 0 Shh/K Shh Е

Biophysical Journal Volume 86 May 2004 2748-2757



複雑系:生命を複雑なまま捉える



生命とは何か

東京大学出版会

- 大自由度系
- 90年代にブーム
- 数値計算+big story







Figure 5. Development of a cell cluster on a two-dimensional grid. Each mark corresponds to a particular cell type determined by differing internal dynamics. The grid indicates the unit of discretization on the diffusive chemicals $C^{(\ell)}(x, y, t)$.



単純な扱いに戻る

Convergence/Alabama

AN INTRODUCTION TO SYSTEMS BIOLOGY

DESIGN PRINCIPLES OF BIOLOGICAL CIRCUITS



Chapman & Hab/CRC

● 要素に分割

(Network motif),

線形化>解析

- Turing instability
- Fractal Geometry
- 粒子多体系











E9.5 E11.5 E12 E13.5 Atlas of Mouse Development



Development 125, 351-357 (1998)



Turing instability (1952)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_r}{\mathrm{d}t} &= ax_r + by_r + \mu(x_{r+1} - 2x_r + x_{r-1}), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}y_r}{\mathrm{d}t} &= cx_r + dy_r + \nu(y_{r+1} - 2y_r + y_{r-1}). \end{aligned} \right\}$$



 $X_r = h + \sum_{s=1}^{N} (A_s e^{p_s t} + B_s e^{p'_s t}) \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i rs}{N}\right],$ $Y_r = k + \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left(C_s e^{p_s t} + D_s e^{p'_s t} \right) \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i r s}{N}\right].$





Gierer-Meinhardt系

Kybernetik 12, 30-39 (1972) © by Springer-Verlag 1972

A Theory of Biological Pattern Formation

A. Gierer and H. Meinhardt Max-Planck-Institut für Virusforschung, Tübingen, Germany

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = \varrho_0 \varrho + c \varrho \frac{a^r}{h^s} - \mu a + D_a \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x^2},$$
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = c' \varrho' \frac{a^t}{h^u} - v h + D_h \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2}.$$



- Activator-inhibitor
- Local positive feedback global lateral inhibition





ターンの正確 牛

J. theor. Biol. (1974) 45, 501-531

How well does Turing's Theory of Morphogenesis work?

JONATHAN BARD[†] AND IAN LAUDER





FIG. 4. Turing patterns: the effect of increasing the number of cells in the line. In this and subsequent figures, only the Y morphogen concentration is shown ($S_p = 1.0$; I = 84643; S = 0.25).

Ede model



[Inactive] $\xrightarrow{[M]>T_1}$ [Synthetic] $\xrightarrow{[M]>T_2}$ [Destructive]

• Iterative specification

J. theor. Biol. (1975) 52, 199-217



Newman-Frisch model

SCIENCE, VOL. 205, 17 AUGUST 1979

Dynamics of Skeletal Pattern Formation in Developing Chick Limb

Stuart A. Newman and H. L. Frisch

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 C + R(C)$$



Fig. 6. Patterns of chondrogenesis predicted by the model described in the text at successive stages of development. Elongation of "skeletal elements" is based on empirical measurements (8, 10). Solid black represents cartilage or precartilage condensation; stippling represents hypothetical distribution of substance M in competent tissue preceding overt chondrogenesis. Hamburger-Hamilton stages (33) to which the model stages correspond are indicated by numbers.



批判

J. theor. Biol. (1986) 121, 505-508

On the Newman-Frisch Model of Limb Chondrogenesis

H. G. Othmer[†]

Dept of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.

(Received 1 February 1986)

I変数では安定な構造ができない





J. theor. Biol. (1988) 134, 183-197

On the Stationary State Analysis of Reaction-Diffusion Mechanisms for Biological Pattern Formation

STUART A. NEWMAN,

Department of Anatomy, New York Medical College, Valhalla, New York 10595, U.S.A.

H. L. FRISCH

Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Albany, Albany, New York 12222, U.S.A.

AND

J. K. PERCUS

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Department of Physics, New York University, New York 10012, U.S.A.

(Received 20 October 1987, and in revised form 25 March 1988)

- ・ 2変数モデルへ
- 以後実験的検証

 $\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = R(C, I) + D\nabla^2 C$ ~ T

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = F(C - \gamma I).$$



Mechanochemical model





Evolution, 42(5), 1988, 862-884

J. Murray "Mathematical Biology"

Chemotaxis部分しか使っていない



実験により否定?

Development 109, 961-966 (1990) Printed in Great Britain (C) The Company of Biologists Limited 1990

Double anterior chick limb buds and models for cartilage rudiment specification

LEWIS WOLPERT and AMATA HORNBRUCH

Department of Anatomy and Developmental Biology, University College and Middlesex School of Medicine, Windeyer Building, Cleveland Street, London, WIP 6DB, UK

Summary

Most models for the specification of the skeletal elements in the developing limb bud are based on a chemical specification well before overt cartilage differentiation. By contrast, a physico-mechanical model proposes that the process of condensation – an early feature of cartilage differentiation – is itself the basis for patterning the elements. The models thus make quite different predictions as to when the rudiments are specified. Double anterior limb buds have been constructed at stages earlier than condensation, with the expectation that, if specification of the humerus occurs before cartilage condensation, then limbs containing two humeri should develop, since the presumptive humerus lies largely in the anterior region. The development of anterior and posterior parts, on their own, was in general, consistent with the fate map; both developed a humerus that was thinner than normal. Double anterior limbs developed two humeri in 28 % of cases and a much thicker humerus in 39 %. These results strongly support models based on an early specification of limb rudiments and cannot be accounted for by the physical model. Double anterior limbs in which the two parts were from different stages, developed such that a digit 3 could lie adjacent to the radius, giving further striking evidence for early specification and local autonomy of development.

Key words: cartilage, chick, limb, model, specification.









Miura & Shiota, 2000

TGFb2 as an activator





Control





Control - TGF82 Treated - TGF82 Miura & Shiota, Dev Dyn 217:241- (2000)

J. Sharpe





Digit patterning is controlled by a Bmp-Sox9-Wnt Turing network modulated by morphogen gradients

J. Raspopovic,^{1*} L. Marcon,^{1*} L. Russo,¹ J. Sharpe^{1,2}⁺

During limb development, digits emerge from the undifferentiated mesenchymal tissue that constitutes the limb bud. It has been proposed that this process is controlled by a self-organizing Turing mechanism, whereby diffusible molecules interact to produce a periodic pattern of digital and interdigital fates. However, the identities of the molecules remain unknown. By combining experiments and modeling, we reveal evidence that a Turing network implemented by Bmp, Sox9, and Wnt drives digit specification. We develop a realistic two-dimensional simulation of digit patterning and show that this network, when modulated by morphogen gradients, recapitulates the expression patterns of *Sox9* in the wild type and in perturbation experiments. Our systems biology approach reveals how a combination of growth, morphogen gradients, and a self-organizing Turing network can achieve robust and reproducible pattern formation.

自発的パターン形成



- Sox9(+)細胞のみを分離して培養してもパターンができる
- Sox9(-) 細胞との遺伝子発 現の差をスクリーニング



候補となる遺伝子のスクリーニング



● TGFb2は下流だった...

モデル



$$\frac{\partial sox9}{\partial t} = \alpha_{sox9} + k_2 bmp - k_3 wnt - sox9^3$$
$$\frac{\partial bmp}{\partial t} = \alpha_{bmp} - k_4 sox9 - k_5 bmp + d_b \nabla^2 bmp$$
$$\frac{\partial wnt}{\partial t} = \alpha_{wnt} - k_7 sox9 - k_9 wnt + d_w \nabla^2 wnt$$

実験的なperturbation



3 変数の Turing 系の全探索



Still open problem

- 「三浦さん、あんな論文なんで通ったんで すか!」(匿名S)
- Wnt側の証拠が弱い(mRNAの空間分布が 一様+そもそも軟骨分化ではあまりmajor なプレイヤーではない)
- 拡散係数の差は計測できるのでは?





縫合線とフラクタル



Okajimas Folia Anat. Jpn., 64(1): 39-46, May 1987

Are There Any Regularities in Cranial Sutures ?

By

Yayoi MASUDA and Takesi YOHRO

Department of Anatomy, University of Tokyo 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyoku, Tokyo, 113, Japan

合線とフラクタル



Fig. 3. Skull of white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virgin anus) from central Wisconsin. Male with shed antler showing complex dorsal cranial sutures. University Wisconsin Museum No. 6223.



Fig. 4. The basic pattern of Von Koch's curve, M elabtriangle and four sides. B) Selfing of triangles and elaboration of a closed polygon. C) Details of the elaborated Von Koch's curve, showing the tendency of N sides to approach infinity, whereas the area of the closed polygon is stable. Arrows denote progressive elaboration.

J. Morphol. 185. 285- (1985)





 Box count で計測が簡単に
 できる>測って「フラクタ ルです」と主張するだけ
 の論文量産

14.	Use and Abuse of Fractals		484
	14.1	Fractals: Basic Concepts and Biological Relevance	484
	14.2	Examples of Fractals and Their Generation	487
	14.3	Fractal Dimension: Concepts and Methods of Calculation	490
	14.4	Fractals or Space-Filling?	496

Murray Mathematical Biology, 2nd ed.



Eden 衝突モデル



Prof S. Miyajima, FORMA 19, 197-205 (2004)

反応拡散+時間依存パラメータ





Miura et al., 2009







Swarm oscillators



PRL 99, 134103 (2007)

Mathematicaで実装



Norm[], Normalize[]で コンパクトに表現

$$\dot{\psi}_{i}(t) = \sum_{j \neq i} e^{-|\mathbf{R}_{ji}|} \sin(\Psi_{ji} + \alpha |\mathbf{R}_{ji}| - c_{1}),$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i}(t) = c_{3} \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{ji} e^{-|\mathbf{R}_{ji}|} \sin(\Psi_{ji} + \alpha |\mathbf{R}_{ji}| - c_{2}),$$

 $n = 50; L = 25; c1 = 1.5; c2 = 0.5; c3 = 2.0; \alpha = 0.5; dt = 0.05;$

ψ = Table[Random[Real, 2 Pi], {n}]; r = Table[{Random[Real, L], Random[Real, L]}, {n}];

dPsi[psi_, r_, i_] :=
 Sum[Exp[-Norm[r[[j]] - r[[i]]]]
 Sin[psi[[j]] - psi[[i]] + aNorm[r[[j]] - r[[i]]] - c1], {j, 1, n}] Sin[- c1];

dR[psi_, r_, i_] :=
 c3 Sum[Normalize[r[[j]] - r[[i]]] Exp[-Norm[r[[j]] - r[[i]]]]
 Sin[psi[[j]] - psi[[i]] + αNorm[r[[j]] - r[[i]]] - c2], {j, 1, n}];

oneStep[{\u03c6_, r_}] := {Mod[\u03c6 + dtTable[dPsi[\u03c6, r, i], {i, 1, n}], 2Pi], Mod[r + dtTable[dR[\u03c6, r, i], {i, 1, n}], L]}

result = NestList[oneStep, {\u03c6, r}, 5000]; // Timing

{620.421, Null}

showCells[{phi_, r_}] :=
 Show[
 Graphics[Table[{Hue[phi[[i]]/2Pi], PointSize[0.05], Point[r[[i]]]},
 {i, 1, n}]], PlotRange → {{0, L}, {0, L}}];

rg = Table[showCells[result[[i]]], {i, 1, 5000, 50}];

ListAnimate[rg]



大脳皮質の層構造







分化した Neuron





似ている。

 $\psi_i \to z_i$

分裂なしモデル

 $\phi_i' = c_1$

相互作用 z方向の運動

$$\vec{r_i}' = \begin{bmatrix} \int_{-1}^{j} U(|\vec{r_j} - \vec{r_i}|) \frac{\vec{r_i}}{|\vec{r_i}|} + c_2(0, 0, z_i - \cos(\phi_i)) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $U(r) = 0$ $(r > 1)$
 -1 $(r \le 1)$ Exclusion volume

細胞周期Φ
 Φはzの影響を受けない

• 座標 $r_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$

分裂なしモデル



中間評価に活用したらしい

強化合宿 (三浦研)



- Mathematicaの
 コーディング+
 モデルのプログ
 ラミング
- |/7-||の4日間

細胞間相互作用



ある程度ソフト>LJPではなく
 線形関数

• 一定距離以上は0

周辺の混み合いによる 位相(細胞周期)の変化



- いちばんApical側のみで細胞分裂
 が起こる(中心体の存在)
- GI:Apical面に達するまでは能動的 に動く
- M:I時間
 - G2-S:6時間。能動的な移動必要
 - 細胞間相互作用で運動が遅れると
 周期がずれる

周辺の混み合いによる 位相(細胞周期)の変化



- ✓● M期はApical面のみ! (中心体)
 - Apical面が移動する:
 相対位置の変化?

細胞分裂



- Apical面のみで起こる
- 方向はランダム
- エレベーター運動す
 - る層の厚みは一定>
 - 離脱する細胞を定義

細胞の最適位置



Apical面、Basal面に到
 達したときは同じx,y
 座標に動く



